

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/249,543	EVANS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	William W. Moore	1652	

**All Participants:**

(1) William W. Moore, Examiner.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dr. Harriet M. Strimpel, Applicant's Counsel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2004

**Time:** 2:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

63, 65-68, 70, 74-76, 80, 83-85 and 90

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization was requested of, and received from, Applicant's counsel for examiner's amendments to claims 63, 65-68, 70, 74-76, 80, 83-85 and 90 that clarify and reorganize their subject matters.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)